

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/436,219	NAGAE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lan Nguyen	3683	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Lan Nguyen. (3) ____.
- (2) Robert Miller. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 7/23/04 & 7/27/04.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: None.

Identification of prior art discussed: USP 6,178,368.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Lan Nguyen 7/27/04

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Miller reiterated the argument in the Request for Reconsideration submitted 4/14/04 where it stated that USP 6,178,368 did not qualify as a prior art document. The Examiner agreed since a translation of the priority document had been submitted. The Final Rejection mailed 7/13/04 is hereby withdrawn. Claims 1-6 have been allowed. A Notice of Allowability is enclosed. The reasons for allowance have been stated in the Notice of Allowability mailed 7/14/03.